

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/497,533		02/03/2000	Samuel D. Naffziger	10990471-1	7597	
22879	7590	01/13/2004		EXAMINER		
		RD COMPANY	HARKNESS, CHARLES A			
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2183	17	
	•		DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004	, 12		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		L A U V				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/497,533	NAFFZIGER, SAMUEL D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INO DATE of this communication and	Charles A Harkness	2183				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the t	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) <u>24-46</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>24-46</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· —	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of Applicant's cancellation of claims 1-23, the rejections to claims 1-23 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 3. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lesartre et. al., U.S. Patent Number 5,761,474 (herein referred to as Lesartre).
- 5. Referring to claim 24 Lesartre has taught a method for finding a predefined plurality of instructions, if available, that are ready to be executed and that reside in an instruction reordering mechanism of a processor that can launch execution of instructions out of order via a predefined number of ports (Lesartre column 6 lines 57-63; since in Lesartre's preferred embodiment, there are 4 ports to the execution units open per cycle, 2 for integer and 2 for floating-point, no more than 4 instructions could be allowed to launch per cycle), comprising the steps of:
- (a) providing said instruction reordering mechanism having a plurality of said instructions, each said instruction port having respective logic element for causing and preventing launching, when appropriate, of said instruction (Lesartre column 2 lines 23-28 and 42-47); and
- (b) propagating a set of signals successively during a launch cycle through said logic elements of said instruction reordering mechanism (Lesartre column 2 lines 27-42 and 60-66;

Page 3

Art Unit: 2183

and column 7 lines 29-31; and column 1 lines 10-12, plurality of instructions interpreted as issuing one instruction after another and so on; the system taught by Lesartre would propagate the signals during a launch cycle so that the system knew which instructions to launch. If the signals were propagated after the launch cycle, the system would not be able to properly function; inherently, the system would have to keep track of which ports are available; otherwise the system may try to launch two slots using the same port, which what cause an error; only one slot can be launched in each port, so it is required of the system to track which port is or is not available for each clock cycle); said set of signals responsive to available instruction ports and port information (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-45; Lesartre taught having signals indicating when the operands that are required for an instruction are available; when the operands that an instruction is dependent on is available, the slot, or port, that is allocated to the instruction is then, also available).

- 6. Referring to claim 25 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises the step of advising each instruction of said instruction port reordering mechanism during each launch cycle either that said instruction will be launched or that said instruction will not be launched (Lesartre column 2 line 60-column 3 line 8 and column 2 lines 36-42).
- Referring to claim 26 Lesartre has taught wherein said signals are propagated through said logic elements in response to logic transitions from a first logic level to a second logic level (Lesartre column 2 lines 36-42 and column 2 line 60-column 3 line 8; and as shown in figure 4, the valop signal, 151, only propagates in one direction).
- 8. Referring to claim 27 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises the step of communicating said predefined plurality of said instructions to a corresponding predefined

Art Unit: 2183

plurality of instruction ports associated with one or more execution resources (Lesartre column 5 lines 26-30 and 41-46).

Page 4

- 9. Referring to claim 28 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises the step of, after said predefined plurality of said instructions have been selected, propagating a lost signal to remaining logic elements associated with remaining instructions of said instruction reordering mechanism to indicate to said remaining logic elements that their respective remaining instructions have not been selected (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-48, valop signal is propagated forward between the aslots showing if there is a dependency precedes, and if so, indicating to the other aslots that they have not been selected).
- 10. Referring to claim 29 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises the of steps:
- (c) after said predefined plurality of said instructions have been selected, propagating a lost signal to remaining logic elements associated with remaining instructions of said instruction reordering mechanism to indicate to said remaining logic elements that their respective remaining instructions have not been selected (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-48; valop signal is propagated forward between the aslots showing if there is a dependency precedes, and if so, indicating to the other aslots that they have not been selected);
- (d) performing steps (b) and (c) during a single cycle associated with one or more execution resources (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-42, the valop signal is used for rejecting both steps (b) and (c), therefore the steps must occur in a single cycle, because it is the same signal); and

Art Unit: 2183

(e) communicating said predefined plurality of said instructions from said instruction reordering mechanism to a corresponding predefined plurality of ports associated with said one or more execution resources (Lesartre column 5 lines 26-30 and 41-46).

- 11. Referring to claim 30 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises the of steps:
- (c) providing said instruction reordering mechanism in a form of a queue having a plurality of slots, each said slot having a respective one of said logic elements and means for temporarily storing a respective instruction (Lesartre column 2 lines 18-28 and column 5 lines 26-30); and
- (d) propagating said set of said signals successively through said slots of said queue during an execution cycle (Lesartre column 2 lines 36-42 and column 2 line 60-column 3 line 8).
- 12. Referring to claim 31 Lesartre has taught wherein said set comprises two of more signals (Lesartre column 2 lines 36-42 and column 2 line 60-column 3 line 8).
- 13. Referring to claim 32 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises of step:
- (c) causing said propagation through only a predefined number of said logic elements during a launch cycle (Lesartre column 12 lines 41-42).
- 14. Referring to claim 33 Lesartre has taught a method for quickly finding a predefined plurality of instructions, if available, that are ready to be executed and that reside in a queue of a processor that can launch execution of instructions out of order, so that the found instructions can be communicated to a corresponding predefined plurality of ports associated with one or more execution resources, comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2183

(a) providing said queue having a plurality of slots, each said slot for temporarily storing a respective instruction and launching, when appropriate, execution of said respective instruction (Lesartre column 2 lines 18-28 and column 5 lines 26-30, plurality of instructions interpreted as issuing one instruction after another and so on); and

- (b) propagating a set of signals successively through slots of said queue during a launch cycle, said set of signals responsive to available instruction ports and port information to launch execution of an instruction (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-45; Lesartre taught having signals indicating when the operands that are required for an instruction are available; when the operands that an instruction is dependent on is available, the slot, or port, that is allocated to the instruction is then, also available) that, when passed through a particular slot:
- (1) selects said particular slot for launching when said particular slot is ready by asserting in said slot one or more found signals that identify one or more specific ports associated with said one or more execution resources (Lesartre column 7 lines 24-31);
- (2) refrains from selecting said particular slot when said particular slot is not ready by asserting in said slot a lost signal (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-48; valop signal is propagated forward between the aslots showing if there is a dependency precedes, and if so, indicating to the other aslots that they have not been selected);
- (3) keeps track of how many slots have been selected during said launch cycle (Lesartre column 10 lines 50-57, since the signal keeps track if a producer instruction as being present or not, it keeps track of how many slots have been selected); and
- (4) causes selection of no more than said predefined plurality of said instructions during said launch cycle; and wherein propagating occurs in response to logic transitions in only one

Page 6

Art Unit: 2183

direction (Lesartre column 10 lines 37-49, once the asserted valop signal is propagated to the other slots, it will prevent anymore slots from being selected once a dependency is found; and as shown in figure 4, the valop signal, 151, only propagates in one direction).

- 15. Referring to claim 34 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises of the step of communicating said predefined plurality of said instructions from said queue to said corresponding predefined plurality of ports associated with said one or more execution resources (Lesartre column 5 lines 26-30 and 41-46).
- 16. Referring to claim 35 Lesartre has taught where the method further comprises of the step of:
- (c) during said launch cycle but after said predefined plurality of said instructions have been selected, propagating a lost signal to remaining slots associated with remaining instructions of said queue to indicate to said remaining slots that their respective remaining instructions have not been selected (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-48; valop signal is propagated forward between the aslots showing if there is a dependency precedes, and if so, indicating to the other aslots that they have not been selected).
- 17. Referring to claim 36 Lesartre has taught a system for finding a predefined plurality of instructions, if available, that are ready to be executed in a processor that can launch execution of instructions out of order, comprising:
- (a) an instruction reordering mechanism for temporarily storing a plurality of said instructions (Lesartre column 2 lines 15-25, plurality of instructions interpreted as issuing one instruction after another and so on); and

Page 7

Art Unit: 2183

(b) a plurality of logic elements associated with said instruction reordering mechanism and associated respectively with each of said instructions in said instruction reordering

Page 8

said logic elements configured to propagate a plurality of signals through said logic elements

mechanism for causing and preventing launching, when appropriate, of respective instructions,

such that causes said logic elements to select said predefined plurality of said instructions for

launching and to de-select any remaining instructions in response to only one direction of logic

transition (Lesartre column 2 lines 23-47; the system taught by Lesartre would propagate the

signals during a launch cycle so that the system knew which instructions to launch. If the signals

were propagated after the launch cycle, the system would not be able to properly function, and as

shown in figure 4, the valop signal, 151, only propagates in one direction).

- Referring to claim 37 Lesartre has taught wherein each one of said logic elements is configured to receive said set of signals from a previous logic element, to evaluate said set of signals to determine whether or not to launch a respective instruction, to modify states associated with said set of signals based upon whether or not said respective instruction was launched, and to propagate said set of said signals to a later logic element (Lesartre column 2 lines 36-56 and lines 60-66 and column 7 lines 24-26).
- 19. Referring to claim 38 Lesartre has taught wherein each of said logic elements is implemented in combination logic hardware (Lesartre column 3 lines 28-32 and column 2 lines 25-29, where a latch is known to be combinational logic).
- 20. Referring to claim 39 Lesartre has taught wherein each said logic element is configured to, after said predefined plurality of said instructions have been selected, propagate a lost signal to remaining logic elements associated with said remaining instructions of said instruction

Art Unit: 2183

reordering mechanism to indicate to said remaining logic elements that their respective remaining instructions have not been selected (Lesartre column 2 lines 35-48; valop signal is propagated forward between the aslots showing if there is a dependency precedes, and if so, indicating to the other aslots that they have not been selected).

- 21. Referring to claim 40 Lesartre has taught that the system further comprises of one or more execution resources having one of more ports to receive data from said predefined plurality of said instructions (Lesartre column 5 lines 26-30 and 41-46).
- Referring to claim 41 Lesartre has taught wherein at least one of said execution resources is an arithmetic logic unit (ALU) (Lesartre figure 3 reference number 42' and column 5 lines 11-15).
- 23. Referring to claim 42 Lesartre has taught wherein at least one of said execution resources is a multiple accumulate unit (MAC) (Lesartre figure 3 reference number 42" and column 5 lines 15-22).
- 24. Referring to claim 43 Lesartre has taught wherein at least one of said execution resources is a cache (Lesartre figure 1 reference number 24 and column 4 lines 55-60).
- 25. Referring to claim 44 Lesartre has taught wherein said instruction reordering mechanism is a queue (Lesartre column 2 lines 15-22).
- 26. Referring to claim 45 Lesartre has taught a system further comprising of an arbitration mechanism configured to assert a start signal to one of said logic elements to initiate said propagation of said set of signals (Lesartre column 8 lines 16-25).

Art Unit: 2183

27. Referring to claim 46 Lesartre has taught a system for finding a predefined plurality of instructions, if available, that are ready to be executed and that reside in a queue of a processor that can launch execution of instructions out of order, comprising:

- (a) queue means for storing a plurality of said instructions, said queue means having a plurality of launch logic means for causing and preventing launching, when appropriate, of a respective instruction (Lesartre column 2 lines 15-32 and lines 42-48, plurality of instructions interpreted as issuing one instruction after another and so on); and
- (b) logic means associated with said queue, said logic means for propagating a set of signals to successive launch logic means, said set of signals responsive to available instruction ports and port information to launch execution of an instruction (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-45; Lesartre taught having signals indicating when the operands that are required for an instruction are available; when the operands that an instruction is dependent on is available, the slot, or port, that is allocated to the instruction is then, also available) to indicate both when and which of one or more ports of one or more execution resources are available for each said instruction and when none of said ports are available, wherein said means for propagating is responsive to logic transitions in only one direction (Lesartre column 10 line 50-column 11 line 8, since the valop signal indicates whether there is a producer instruction, or an instruction being sent to the execution unit, the signal indicates whether a execution unit is available or not; and as shown in figure 4, the valop signal, 151, only propagates in one direction).

Response to Arguments

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/497,533

Art Unit: 2183

- 28. Applicant's arguments filed 10/17/03, paper number 12, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 39. In the remarks, in regard to the rejection of claim 24, Applicant argues in essence that:

"In contrast with Applicant's claimed invention and as indicated in the title of the '474 patent, the system apparently disclosed in Lesartre is directed to operand dependency tracking to determine when it is appropriate to execute an instruction in an our-of-order processor. The system of the '474 patent tracks operand dependencies and uses the dependencies to identify instructions that are ready to be executed. Thus, the system of *Lesartre* is tracking operand dependencies to determine if a corresponding instruction can launch."

and

"Applicant's disclosure is directed to an instruction reordering mechanism that tracks allocated ports for launching instruction ready to launch (i.e., instructions in slots of the reordering mechanism have no dependency conflicts)."

30. This is not found persuasive. Examiner agrees with Applicant that the function of Lesartre ('474) is to track operand dependencies. However, Lesartre taught having signals indicating when the operands that are required for an instruction are available (Lesartre column 9 lines 6-45). When the operands that an instruction is dependent on is available, the slot, or port, that is allocated to the instruction is then, also available. Therefore, using the broadest interpretation of the claims of the instant application, the teachings of Lesartre anticipate the claims of the instant application.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2183

Page 12

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A Harkness whose telephone number is 703-305-7579. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M. with every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on 703-305-9712. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-7579.

Charles Allen Harkness

Examiner

Art Unit 2183

January 8, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100